Chesapeake Bay 201 - Phosphorus *30 minutes of legacies, drainage and critical sources*



Pete Kleinman USDA Agricultural Research Service State College, PA 16802

P fertility Many of the world's agricultural soils have high P binding potential



Overcoming P binding P fertility Most fertilizer P is bound by soil particles Water Root Soil Particle 800 High binding capacity 600



P fertility Nearly all P sits near the surfaceParticularly with no-till



Sharpley and Smith: J. Environ. Qual., 1994

Dissolved P More P in the soil, more P in runoff



Soil P

Conservation paradox No-till reduced erosion by 95%

Total P, mg/L

Dissolved P, mg/L



Sharpley & Smith, 1994 – El Reno, OK



P only moves with eroded soil particles – wrong



Management

Placement Place fertilizer and manure into the soil where the roots can get to it and runoff cannot

Rate Keep application rates as low as possible

Strategy Control erosion, but don't forget dissolved P



Legacy P

Intensified and specialized farming systems Disconnect between livestock production and soil P fertility objectives



The

Manokin River Legacy P and Artificial Drainage

Agriculture TMDL Tracking BMP Review 2009-2011 Milestones 2012-2013 Milestones

ake Bay TMDL Tracking and Accounting System

e Bay TMDL Tracking and Accounting System (BayTAS) was developed to inform EPA, the Bay Jurisdictions, and the iss in implementing the <u>Bay Total Maximum Daily Load (Bay TMDL)</u>. BayTAS stores the TMDL allocations (based on

the Watershed Model Phase 5.3.0 and tracks implementation progress (based on the Watershed Model Phase 5.3.2 and the jurisdictions' Phase II Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs)). BayTAS data are displayed through the TMDL Tracker. Learn more <u>about BayTAS</u> and the <u>terminology of the TMDL</u> in the glossary found in Section 13 of the TMDL. Get answers to <u>frequently asked</u> <u>questions</u> about the Bay TMDL.



Legacy P and Artificial Drainage

Delmarva Fresh Chickens: 600 million birds, 800,000 tons litter



Feed efficiency

P excretion by broiler chickens Historical and ongoing gains



Adapted from Angel: Univ. Maryland, 2009

Drainage Ditches

Point and nonpoint sources of P



Kleinman et al., 2007 (J. Soil Water Conserv.)

Drainage Ditches Clean it up! Infrastructure maintenance and barnyards

Here's a loafing area from one of the other Bay states

And this was installed with state subsidies as a BMP

Drainage Ditches

Connect legacy P sources with streams *Flashy - drainage fluctuations can be enormous*



"Mining" legacy P No change after one decade



Kleinman et al., 2010 (Canadian J. Soil Science)

Legacy P transfers to drainage ditches Shallow groundwater is the primary pathway



Kleinman et al., 2007 (J. Soil Water Conserv.)

Phosphorus loss in ditch drainage Greatest risk is in the near-ditch zone (within 5-10 m)



Kleinman et al., 2007 (J. Soil Water Conserv.)

No model gets P in drainage water right Journal of Environmental Quality, 2015



Idealized model proposed by Radcliffe et al. (2015) after their conclusion The Bay Model is not alone. Hopefully version 6.0 will address this.



Chesapeake Bay TMDL Tra

The Chesapeake Bay TMDL Tracking and Acc public on progress in implementing the Bay T& the Watershed Model Phase 5.3.0 and tracks jurisdictions' Phase II Watershed Implementa about BayTAS and the terminology of the TMI questions about the Bay TMDL.



Legacy P Myths



We can quickly reverse 40+ years of soil P buildup – no, we must work to lower P in hydrologically connected areas

Management

Phyto-mining – extracting P with crops (takes time, but we can use deep rooted, high biomass crops)

Tillage – mixing surface and subsurface changes soil P quickly

Rate - Stop applying starter fertilizer and manure to high P soils that are hydrologically connected

P in drainage water myths

P doesn't move underground – wrong

P in drainage water can't be managed – wrong (its not easy)

Management – full court press

Drainage Flow control structures and filter strategies

4R Nutrient stewardship

 Placement Close contact with soil minimizes P in drainage water. Applying 1-3 m away from drains . Don't let legacy P build up near ditches and tile drains.

 Timing Avoid periods before storm events and when soils are wet (can't store liquid manures) or dry (and cracked)

 Rate Keep application rates as low as possible
 Add amendments like alum to reduce P solubility



Legacy P in the riparian area





WE-38 Watershed (7.3 km²)



Soil Science 1.0: The fragipan



Relatively impermeable (seasonal perching of groundwater)

Well-drained soil *no fragipan*



Rapid infiltration of rainfall and runoff

Fragipan soils and zones of saturation Late August 2003



Fragipan soils and zones of saturation Late October 2003



Fragipan soils enhance surface runoff generation

Infiltration excess Saturation excess

4620 L





Berks soil No fragipan, well drained

Albrights soil Fragipan, poorly drained

which can lead to large phosphorus (P) losses, even from modest sources



Soil test P 78 mg/kg

Albrights soil Fragipan, poorly drained Critical source areas and phosphorus management

Modest P source

Critical Source Area

High transport

Identifying runoff prone soils is essential to the Phosphorus Index





Phosphorus Index is widely adopted in the United States



Phosphorus Index

Phosphorus Index and/or Environmental Phosphorus Threshold

Soil Test Crop Response

Critical source area myths

We can curtail runoff to stop P loss– in some cases, but not the typical cases in our region Non-extractive buffers will work – need legacy P removal

Management

Minimize legacy P – these areas should be at or below the agronomic optimum

P Index and setbacks - Improving over time

New forecasting technologies - currently being tested

Thank you



Pete Kleinman peter.kleinman@ars.usda.gov 814.865.3184